-	
Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.002$
R = 0.041	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.20 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.053	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.033	Atomic scattering factors
1075 reflections	from CRYSRULER
138 parameters	(Rizzoli, Sangermano,
All H-atom parameters	Calestani & Andreetti,
refined	1989)
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0020F^2]$	

Table	1. Fractional	atomic	coordinates	and	equiva	lent
	isotropic di	splacem	ent paramete	rs (Å	Å ²)	

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
01	0.1267 (3)	0.4131(1)	0.8735(1)	0.0371 (4)
C2	-0.0253 (4)	0.3361 (1)	0.8335 (2)	0.0354 (6)
C21	0.0798 (4)	0.2447 (1)	0.8683 (2)	0.0369 (6)
C22	0.3126 (4)	0.2266(1)	0.9404 (2)	0.0378 (6)
C23	0.3948 (4)	0.1286(1)	0.9641 (2)	0.0348 (6)
O231	0.6363 (3)	0.1196(1)	1.0300 (2)	0.0483 (5)
O232	0.2561 (3)	0.0613(1)	0.9265(1)	0.0449 (5)
C3	-0.2612 (4)	0.3638(1)	0.7643 (2)	0.0410 (7)
C4	-0.2601 (4)	0.4626(1)	0.7606 (2)	0.0424 (7)
C5	-0.0234 (4)	0.4876(1)	0.8269 (2)	0.0357 (6)
N50	0.0889 (3)	0.5777 (1)	0.8549 (2)	0.0414 (5)
O501	0.3145 (4)	0.5830(1)	0.9144 (2)	0.0640 (7)
O502	-0.0488 (3)	0.6453 (1)	0.8155 (2)	0.0584 (7)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

	0	•	• • •
O1-C2	1.372 (2)	C23—O232	1.221 (2)
01—C5	1.355 (2)	C3—C4	1.409 (2)
C2-C21	1.434 (2)	C4—C5	1.345 (2)
C2—C3	1.364 (2)	C5N50	1.419 (2)
C21—C22	1.330 (2)	N500501	1.225 (2)
C22—C23	1.469 (2)	N500502	1.226 (2)
C23—O231	1.323 (2)	O231—H231	0.90 (2)
C2-01-C5	104.8 (2)	C2—C3—C4	107.3 (2)
O1-C2-C3	110.0 (2)	C3—C4—C5	105.0 (2)
O1-C2-C21	118.5 (2)	O1-C5-C4	113.0 (2)
C21-C2-C3	131.5 (2)	C4-C5N50	130.5 (2)
C2-C21-C22	125.9 (2)	O1-C5-N50	116.6 (2)
C21-C22-C23	119.2 (2)	C5-N50-O502	116.7 (2)
C22—C23—O232	123.8 (2)	C5-N50-O501	118.7 (2)
C22—C23—O231	113.5 (2)	O501N50O502	124.6 (2)
O231—C23—O232	122.7 (2)	C23—O231—H231	110 (2)

Data collection: *DIF*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1992*a*). Cell refinement: *DIF*4. Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1992*b*). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976). Molecular graphics: *PLUTON93* (Spek, 1993). Software used to prepare material for publication: *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983).

The authors thank American Cyanamid Company (Pearl River, New York) for providing the crystals. One of them (TO) is indebted to the Research Council of the K. U. Leuven (Belgium) for Senior Fellowship No. F/92/32.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry, bond distances and angles involving H atoms and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1083). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Burke-Laing, M. & Laing, M. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 3216-3224
- Kusa, A., Polynova, T. N., Porai-Koshits, M. A., Kovach, Ya. & Vegkh, D. (1979). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 20, 556
- Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.
- Rizzoli, C., Sangermano, V., Calestani, G. & Andreetti, G. D. (1989). CRYSRULER. Version 2.0. Univ. degli Studi di Parma, Italy.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1993). PLUTON93. Molecular Graphics Program. Univ. of Utrecht, The Netherlands.
- Stoe & Cie (1992a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.0. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1992b). *REDU4. Data Reduction Program.* Version 7.03. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1931-1933

2,6-Bis(dimethylamino)-3,5-pyridinedicarbaldehyde

Long-Li Lai, Ling-Kang Liu, Ming-Jen Shiao and Yuh-Sheng Wen

Institute of Chemistry, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, Taiwan

Abstract

The title molecule, $C_{11}H_{15}N_3O_2$, sits on a crystallographic twofold axis. This highly substituted pyridine compound has a very distorted pyridine plane (± 0.1 Å) with the aldehyde O atoms displaced from the plane by *ca* 1.0 Å and the dimethylamino groups (planar within 0.06 Å) tilted away from the pyridine plane by 20.5 (1)°. The C1—C2 bond length [1.438 (3) Å] is much longer than that normally found in pyridine [1.379 Å; Allen, Kennard, Watson, Brammer, Orpen & Taylor (1987). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans.* 2, pp. S1–S19], as reasonably expected from consideration of steric effects.

Comment

The structure determination of the title compound (I) was carried out in order to obtain more structural parameters for 2,6-diaminopyridine derivatives and to see how

N1 N2 01 Cl C2

C3

C4 C5

C6

N1-

N2-N2-

N2-

C1-

C1-

C1-

C5-N1-

N1-

a tetra-substituted pyridine skeleton would reflect its steric crowdedness in the bonding geometry. The effect of substituents on the conformation is important because 2,6-diaminopyridine derivatives form strong hydrogenbonding interactions with nucleotide base pairs (Hamilton, Geib & Dixon, 1992). Such a skeleton forms part of many heterocycles which function as DNA intercalators (Mitcher & Rao, 1984).





Fig. 1. View of C₁₁H₁₅N₃O₂ showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability levels. H atoms are shown as small circles of arbitrary radii.

Experimental

The title compound was obtained by reaction of 2,6dimethoxypyridine with Vilsmeier reagent following a literature procedure (Shiao, Shyu & Tarng, 1990). Suitable crystals for this study were obtained from dichloromethane-hexane (1:2) solution as colorless rods (m.p. 412-414 K).

Crystal data

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4

diffractometer

$C_{11}H_{15}N_3O_2$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 221.26$	$\lambda = 0.7107 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 25
C2/c	reflections
a = 18.316 (1) Å	$\theta = 8.04 - 18.52^{\circ}$
b = 8.270 (1) Å	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 7.438 (1) Å	T = 298 K
$\beta = 92.358 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Rod
V = 1125.7 (2) Å ³	$0.63 \times 0.38 \times 0.38$ mm
Z = 4	Colorless
$D_x = 1.306 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
Data collection	

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.003$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 22.5^{\circ}$

$\theta/2\theta$ scans $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$ Absorption correction: $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$ empirical $l = 0 \rightarrow 7$ $T_{\min} = 0.908, T_{\max} =$ 3 standard reflections 0.999 frequency: 60 min 839 measured reflections intensity variation: 6% 733 independent reflections 616 observed reflections $[F > 2\sigma(F)]$ Refinement $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.100 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.12 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ Refinement on F R = 0.033wR = 0.041Extinction correction: S = 2.28secondary 616 reflections Extinction coefficient: 105 parameters 0.151 (17) All H-atom parameters Atomic scattering factors refined from International Tables $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0004F^2]$ for X-ray Crystallography $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.003$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

(1974, Vol. IV, Table

2.3.1)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
0	0.1135 (3)	1/4	0.041(1)
0.1239 (1)	0.1030(2)	0.2384 (2)	0.050(1)
0.1656 (1)	0.4129 (2)	0.0199 (2)	0.065(1)
0.0626(1)	0.1932 (2)	0.2303 (2)	0.039(1)
0.0631(1)	0.3653 (2)	0.2025 (3)	0.040(1)
0	0.4436 (4)	1/4	0.043 (2)
0.1170(1)	0.4606 (3)	0.1118 (3)	0.050(2)
0.1962(1)	0.1701 (4)	0.2817 (4)	0.067(1)
0.1176 (2)	-0.0729(3)	0.2419 (5)	0.072 (2)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1 C1 C5 C6	1.336 (2) 1.348 (2) 1.459 (3) 1.460 (3)	01—C4 C1—C2 C2—C3 C2—C4	1.211 (3) 1.438 (3) 1.383 (4) 1.451 (3)
-N1C1 ⁱ -N2C5 -N2C6 -N2C6 -C1N2 C1C2	120.81 (19) 123.13 (19) 119.06 (19) 116.53 (21) 116.06 (17) 121.00 (16)	N2-C1-C2C1-C2-C3C1-C2-C4C3-C2-C4C3-C2-C4C2-C3-C2i	122.94 (16) 114.60 (17) 127.80 (17) 117.11 (19) 124.15 (24)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$.

Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption effects. Data collection was carried out using CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Data reduction and structural refinements were performed using the NRCVAX package (Gabe, Lee & Le Page, 1985). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined with full-matrix leastsquares cycles. All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. All H atoms were refined with isotropic displacement parameters. Fig. 1 was produced using ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976) and shows the molecular structure and atomic labelling.

We thank the National Science Council and Academia Sinica for the financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates, complete geometry, including bond distances and angles involving H atoms, and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CR1129). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–S19.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Gabe, E. J., Lee, F. L. & Le Page, Y. (1985). Crystallographic Computing 3, edited by G. M. Sheldrick, C. Krüger & R. Goddard, pp. 167-174. Oxford Univ. Press.
- Hamilton, A. D., Geib, S. J. & Dixon, R. P. (1992). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 114, 365-367, and references therein.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Mitcher, L. M. & Rao, G. S. R. (1984). Natural Products and Drug Development, edited by P. Krogsgaard-arsen, S. B. Christensen & H. Kofod, pp. 193–212, and references therein. Alfred Benzon Symposium 20. Denmark: Munksgaard.
- Shiao, M.-J., Shyu, I.-M. & Tarng, K.-Y. (1990). Synth. Commun. 20, 2971–2977.

Acta Cryst. (1994). C50, 1933-1935

trans-3-Amino-1-methyl-4-phenyl-2azetidinone, $C_{10}H_{12}N_2O$

ANTHONY L. SPEK

Bijvoet Center for Biomolecular Research, Department of Crystal and Structural Chemistry, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

Fred H. van der Steen, Johann T. B. H. Jastrzebski and Gerard van Koten

Debye Institute, Department of Metal-Mediated Synthesis, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

(Received 10 June 1994; accepted 1 July 1994)

Abstract

The central four-membered azetidinone ring of the title compound is puckered significantly with an average ring torsion angle of $5.1 (1)^\circ$. Molecules form infinite two-dimensional hydrogen-bonded networks *via* N—H···N [N···N 3.379 (3) Å] and N—H···O [N···O 3.056 (3) Å] interactions.

© 1994 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

Comment

The title compound (I) belongs to a class of compounds called monobactams. Its core is a principal building block of natural and synthetic β -lactam antibiotics (Chemistry and Biology of β -Lactam Antibiotics, 1982). Its molecular structure (Fig. 1) comprises a four-membered cyclic amide with the phenyl and amino substituents in a trans arrangement. The geometry of the four-membered ring is similar to that reported for trans-1-(N-tert-butyl)-3-(N-tert-butyl-*N*-ethylamino)-4-methoxycarbonyl-2-azetidinone **(II)** (van Vliet, Jastrzebski, Klaver, Goubitz & van Koten, trans-(3R,4S)-1-(R)- α -methylbenzyl-3-1987) and (2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-1-aza-2,5-disilacyclopentyl)-4-(2pyridyl)-2-azetidinone (III) (van der Steen, Kleijn, Spek & van Koten, 1990).



The previously reported trend of relatively long C— N and short C=O amide bonds (compared to normal amides) being a common feature for monocyclic (Davis & Storr, 1984) as well as bicyclic (McGregor, 1984) β lactams is not observed here. The significant puckering of the core four-membered ring is also in disagreement with earlier observations, but the value of the average



Fig. 1. Structure of (I) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% level.

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1994